

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



Pergamon

Tetrahedron Vol. 51, No. 41, pp. 11043-11062, 1995

Copyright © 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd

Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

0040-4020/95 \$9.50+0.00

0040-4020(95)00659-1

FILE COPY

Benzylation via Tandem Grignard Reaction - Iodotrimethylsilane (TMSI) Mediated Reduction

Eric J. Stoner*, Darlene A. Cothron, Mary K. Balmer, and Brian A. Roden


D54P, Process Research and Development, Abbott Laboratories, 1401 Sheridan Road, N. Chicago, IL 60064

Abstract: A method has been developed which allows for the *large scale* preparation of biarylmethanes. This method involves the initial formation of biarylmethanols via reaction of aryl Grignards with carbonyl compounds followed by a subsequent reduction with iodotrimethylsilane (TMSI). A number of improvements over existing literature procedures are reported as well as previously unobserved dimerizations. Studies reveal that as few as 3 equiv of TMSI will give complete reduction in most cases where either of the substituents are not heteroaromatic. Mono-substituted alkanols react with TMSI to afford the corresponding iodides. A mechanistic study of the TMSI reduction is also reported.

INTRODUCTION


The development of large scale chemical processes involves addressing issues such as the cost and availability of raw materials, throughput (reaction time and concentration), reactor vessel capabilities, and safety and waste considerations. During the course of the work on 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors,¹ we needed to develop an efficient route to 2-(4'-fluorobenzyl)thiophene (**1**) following these guidelines.

Numerous direct benzylation methods such as Friedel-Crafts benzylations,² traditional lithiation/benzylation strategies,³ and Kumada-style Ni⁰(dppe) couplings⁴ were successful on small scale. The utility of these methods on larger scale was limited by the toxicity of benzyl halides, flammability of ethereal solvents or use of halogenated solvents, regiochemical ambiguities and the necessity of using cryogenic reaction conditions.

Fig. 1. Disconnection of **1**

Certain alternate synthetic strategies involving deoxygenation of alcohol **2**⁵ or reduction of ketone **3**⁶ are also not amenable to large scale preparations (Fig. 1). A more promising approach involving reduction of biarylmethanol **2** with large excesses (4-6 equiv) of TMSI⁷ or diiododimethylsilane⁸ is outlined below in Scheme 1. The two step synthesis consists of coupling the appropriate aryl Grignard with an aldehyde (or ketone) at 0 - 20 °C and treatment of the crude adduct with TMSI, inexpensively generated from NaI and TMSCl in CH₃CN.⁹ In most cases, the reductions are complete within a few hours.

Scheme 1



RESULTS

To demonstrate the viability of this two-step protocol as a mild, high yielding, inexpensive, and *scaleable* route to benzylaromatics, a number of cases were examined (see Table 1). A wide array of functionalities previously unexplored in this reduction were tolerated even though TMSI is a powerful reductant, electrophile and Lewis acid.¹⁰ Oxygenated substrates such as arylalkyl ether **23** and nitro compounds **5** and **7** furnished moderate to high yields of the biarylmethanes. Reductions of nitroalcohols **5** and **7** yielded tars; purification by column chromatography afforded the desired products in yields comparable to the non-functionalized aromatic entries.^{11,12} It is worthwhile to note the increase in yield observed when the nitro-group is removed from conjugation with the reduction center, suggestive of a carbocation-like transition state.^{7c} Furans **10** and **12** also underwent reduction, although a modification of the original procedure was used to obtain the yields shown. In this modification, the alcohol is added more slowly to a cooled (0 - 10 °C) solution of a larger excess of TMSI (6 equiv). This helps to control dimerization, which is the major side-reaction observed in the reduction of these compounds (*vide infra*). Benzylfuran **13** and benzylpyrrole **30** appeared to be sensitive to our isolation and purification conditions, but were still isolated in 58% and 56% yield respectively.

Our studies have revealed a number of functionalities to be incompatible with the TMSI reduction. In addition to those moieties which react with this reagent^{7c,8b} we were unable to observe any reduction with pyridines **25** and **27**. Our initial suspicion was that HI, generated during the initial reaction of TMSI and the alcohol moiety, was being trapped as the pyridine hydroiodide salt. The addition of an extra equivalent of anhydrous HI however (formed *in situ* by destroying 1 equiv of excess TMSI with 0.5 equiv of water) did not result in any appreciable increase in product observed. Since electron-rich aromatics such as pyrrole **29** readily undergo reduction, electronic effects are assumed to predominate. The inductive electron withdrawing

Tandem Grignard reaction-TMSI mediated reduction

11045

Table 1. Biarylmethanes via Tandem Grignard Addition-TMSI Reduction











































entry	ArX	RR'CO	alcohol	yield (%) ^a	biarylmethane	yield (%) ^b
1	 4		 2	100	 1	90 (97) ^a
2	4		 5	100	 6	62
3	4		 7	65 ^b	 8	84
4	 9		 10	99	 11	79
5	9		 12	98	 13	58
6	9	 14	 15	97	 16	94
7	9	 17	 17	99	 18	84
8	9		 19	99	 20	84
9	9		 21	100	 22	92

Table 1. (cont'd) Biarylmethanes via Tandem Grignard Addition-TMSI Reduction

entry	ArX	RR'CO	alcohol	yield (%) ^a	biarylmethane	yield (%) ^b
10				50 ^b		82
11	9			95		0
12	9			95		0 ^c
13	9			92		56(81) ^d

^a Unless otherwise specified, all yields are for crude material of greater than 90% purity by GC (purified yields are usually 5-10% lower). ^b Isolated yields from reactions run on greater than 2.5 g (product) scale. All materials gave satisfactory spectral analysis by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR and MS (FAB and/or EI). ^c 1-2% by GC, not isolated. ^d Crude yield

effect of the pyridine moiety should destabilize the cation-like transition state we have proposed. This destabilization would be even more pronounced in the HI salt.















The reductions described in Table 1 could be accomplished with as little as 3 equiv of TMSI; unpurified alcohols necessitated larger amounts of reductant. Greater quantities of this reagent did not lead to significant increases in yield: higher temperatures and longer reaction times resulted in a yield gain of 5-10%. Lowering the TMSI concentration below 3 equiv had an extremely deleterious effect on the rate and efficiency of the reduction. Reductions using 2 equiv did not reach completion even after 10 days; the yield dropped dramatically as the TMSI concentration was lowered further. Although, these reductions could be accomplished in a number of solvents (such as CH₂Cl₂, CHCl₃ and C₆H₆), we found CH₃CN to be superior.

Attempted extension of this sequence to substrates with only one aromatic ring led to unexpected results (see Table 2). Thiophene 39 was reduced to 2-butylthiophene 40; similar results on electron rich thiophene substituted alkanols were reported by Cava using 6 equiv. of TMSI.^{7a} Our yields with 3.5 equiv. of the reagent were lower and small quantities of the corresponding iodide were observed. 4-Fluorobenzyl alcohol 31 was converted to iodide 32; other researchers have observed similar results.^{7a-c,13} Electron-rich 4-

Tandem Grignard reaction-TMSI mediated reduction

11047

Table 2. Reaction of Arylalkanols with TMSI

entry	alcohol	eq. TMSI	product	yield (%) ^a
1	 31	4	 32	90
2	31	5.5	32	97
3	 35	6	 36	90
4	 37	6	 38	45
5	 39	6	 40	80
6	39	3.5	40	72 ^b
7	 41	6	 42	53(83) ^c
8	41	3	42	53(82) ^c
9	 43	6	 44	38(93) ^d
10	43	3	44	53
11	 45	6	 46	0 ^e
12	45	3	46	0 ^e

^a Isolated yields of material >95% pure by GC which gave satisfactory analysis by ¹H, ¹³C, IR, MS. ^b Small amounts of the corresponding iodide were observed. ^c Crude yield of pure material (>90% pure by GC) ^d An additional 55% of alcohol 43 was also isolated. Material formed during work-up and was not present during reaction. ^e Small amounts of product were detected by GC.


methoxybenzyl alcohol 35 was converted into iodide 36 in 90% yield. No completely reduced toluene derivatives were observed in the crude reaction mixtures.

Sakai has reported the formation of completely reduced hydrocarbons on exposure of secondary and tertiary benzylic alcohols to excess TMSI.^{7b,c} In our hands, these conditions led to the exclusive formation of the iodide; the number of equiv of reagent used, reaction time and temperature did not impact the product distribution. Neopentyl alcohol 43, a case not examined by Sakai, yielded reactive iodide 44 which is highly susceptible to hydrolysis.

Compounds 41 and 45 afforded similar results. Indeed, attempted reduction of 45 under a variety of conditions afforded a complex mixture of dimers, trimers and olefinic materials whose formation suggests decomposition of a putative iodide intermediate. Only a small amount of reduction product was observed; the complex mixture precluded isolation of this product.

DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE SCALE OPTIMIZED PROCEDURE

The optimized procedure involves the generation of the aryl Grignard reagent instead of the aryl lithium avoiding a number of significant problems: pyrophoric *n*-BuLi can be a considerable fire hazard especially when used at high concentrations (10M). The cryogenic reaction conditions typical of many deprotonations and lithium-halogen exchanges can be difficult to achieve on large scale.¹⁴




Slightly less than one equivalent of the aldehyde is subsequently added to the Grignard between 0 - 20 °C. In general, the formation of the "intermediate" carbinol proceeds in high yields (>90%) affording crude

products of acceptable purity (>90%). This addition protocol is necessary in cases where aromatic aldehydes are employed due to the competitive formation of ketone derivatives by a crossed Cannizzaro-type process.¹⁵ In only two cases, 7 and 23, did the level of ketone formation necessitate purification at this stage (greater than 10%). The formation of these ketone impurities is rapid enough that bisadducts such as 33 are formed and can be isolated as well.

The formation of biarylketones (e.g. 3) is not in itself a significant problem as these compounds remain unchanged through the reduction step. However, the Cannizzaro process which produces these ketone derivatives also furnishes an equal level of arylmethanols such as 31 (see Scheme 2). Exposure of these primary benzylic alcohols in the crude alcohols to TMSI leads to the formation of toxic and lachrymatory benzyl iodides, complicating the isolation of the desired biaryl methane products. The formation of reduced bis-adducts (34) can be observed as well.

Residual traces of solvent are removed by distillation under reduced pressure followed by azeotropeing with CH₃CN. This is necessary as both tetrahydrofuran and ethyl acetate react with TMSI. A solution of the crude product alcohol in CH₃CN is added slowly to a cooled (0 - 20 °C) solution of TMSI in CH₃CN.¹⁶ The rate of addition and reaction temperature are crucial to obtaining acceptable yields of product especially in those cases where one of the aryl groups is a five-membered heterocycle. In these reactions (compounds 2, 5, 7, 10, 12, 29), an alternative reaction pathway can predominate leading to the rapid formation of dimers of the type 49, presumably through cationic intermediate 48 (see Scheme 3).^{17,18}


Scheme 3




When the rate of addition is too fast or the internal temperature of the reaction rises above 20 °C, dimerization becomes a significant problem. The problem is obviated by using a larger excess of reductant allowing the reaction to proceed more quickly. For example, rapid addition (< 1 min) of alcohol 2 to an

uncooled solution of 3.5 equiv of TMSI produces almost 60% dimer 49, while slow addition over an hour to a 0 °C solution of 6 equiv produces only a trace 49.

The dimerization activity of these compounds is not limited to the TMSI reduction. Reaction of 2 with ethanol and a trace of acid leads to ether 50 at ambient temperature in 36 hours; at 40°C the reaction is complete in an hour. Heating the ether furnishes a resinous material containing dimer 49 (see eq. 1).



Reduction of thiophene derivatives 2, 5, and 7 can be easily accomplished with 4 equiv of TMSI; furans 10 and 12 produce high levels of dimers (20-40%) unless six or more equiv are used (see eqs. 2,3).¹⁹ Rapid addition of 10 to a solution of TMSI, for example, generates the desired 2-benzylfuran 11 plus dimer 51. Similar exposure of 12 to TMSI yields 3-benzylfuran 13 plus 2,3-substituted dimer 52 as the major dimeric species with trace quantities of another dimer and as yet unidentified trimers and polymers. Pyrrole 29 suffers from a propensity to undergo acid-induced oligomerization during reduction. We have found the numerous dimeric and trimeric species formed in this reduction to be air- and silica- sensitive and therefore difficult to isolate and characterize.



In non-heterocyclic entries, reduction is not typically accompanied by dimerization and therefore the temperature at which the reduction is performed is not as critical. In fact, higher temperatures (we have explored the reduction of benzhydrol 15 at temperatures of up to 50 °C) frequently lead to small increases in overall reduction yields as does extending the reaction times.

We have found the published work-up procedures for the TMSI reduction to be impractical for large scale separations. In general, these procedures involve quenching the reaction with water followed by multiple extractions with a suitable non-polar solvent such as diethyl ether followed by thiosulfate washes to


remove iodine. In large scale work, we hoped to perform all work-up procedures in a single vessel with minimal solvent. In this case, it is necessary to ensure that all of the TMSI is destroyed and the resulting HI neutralized prior to the addition of thiosulfate. Otherwise, HI apparently reduces thiosulfate yielding an intractable yellow solid consisting of elemental sulfur and a number of uncharacterized sulfur-iodine containing compounds. Related reductive dimerizations of sulfoxides and sulfonyl halides are well documented.²⁰

Extraction of the desired reduction product from the aqueous layer can be accomplished with a wide variety of solvents. We have found that non-polar solvents like heptane will cause the formation of a third layer which consists largely of $(\text{TMS})_2\text{O}$. The use of a more polar co-solvent, such as ethyl acetate, induces the desired separation; $(\text{TMS})_2\text{O}$ is readily removed by distillation under reduced pressure. In many cases, the biarylmethanes obtained are pure enough to be used in subsequent reactions. Purification can be readily accomplished by vacuum distillation or flash chromatography.

MECHANISTIC CONSIDERATIONS OF THE REDUCTION

In our early efforts to optimize the TMSI reduction, we relied heavily the mechanistic proposals of other researchers in the field.^{7b,c, 8a} We were especially interested in these suggestions as the researchers typically used a much larger excess of TMSI for the reductions than their mechanistic proposals suggested would be necessary. These proposals maintained that reaction of a biarylmethanol with 2.0 equiv of TMSI would result in the formation of the corresponding iodo-derivative, liberating in the process, 1.0 equiv of HI and $(\text{TMS})_2\text{O}$ (see Scheme 4). Reduction of the iodo-derivative was then accomplished with HI, yielding iodine as a by-product. This implied that 2.0 equiv of TMSI should be sufficient to completely reduce biarylmethanols which are not prone to dimerization.

Scheme 4




Hydriodic acid (HI) is a well known reductant and has been used in the reduction of biarylmethanols as well as a number of other oxygenated species.^{6c,21} However, reductions with HI frequently require extreme reaction conditions including high temperatures and prolonged reaction times. We therefore found it to be important to determine the course of the reduction not solely for mechanistic purposes, but to facilitate our large-scale optimization efforts as well.

Our first priority was the determination of the fewest number of equiv of TMSI which could be used in a reduction. Our early efforts to optimize the reduction of **2** met with difficulty due to the competitive dimerization leading to **49** (see Scheme 3). However, once a workable process was found, we turned our attention to examining this reduction in a case in which this undesired dimerization pathway was not a problem. We chose benzhydrol (**15**) for these studies since it has been previously examined in the TMSI reduction, as well as a number of other reductive methods.^{5c, 7b, 8}


As previously mentioned, our initial studies revealed that the yield of this reduction (benzhydrol **15** to diphenylmethane **16**) is greatly dependent upon the number of equiv of TMSI used in excess of 2.0 (see Graph 1).²² With fewer than 2.0 equiv of TMSI, the yield of diphenylmethane approaches 0%. Above 2.0 equiv of TMSI, the yield of diphenylmethane increases dramatically, reaching a point of diminishing returns at 3.0 equiv. We also observed in these studies, a strong correlation between the number of equiv of TMSI used, and the time of reaction. When the amount of TMSI used is increased from 2.0 to 3.0 equiv, the time required for complete reaction drops rapidly from several days to a few hours. Additional equiv of TMSI beyond 3.0 allow the reaction to proceed even more readily; the use of fewer than 2.0 equiv will produce reactions which will not be complete at rt even after several weeks.²³ Although we have not examined this phenomenon with respect to solvents other than CH₃CN, these observations suggest that TMSI is involved in the reductive step.

Graph 1. Reduction of Benzhydrol: Yield vs. Equiv of TMSI



To further elucidate the mechanism of this reduction, we prepared the two "stable" putative intermediates, silyl ether **53** and iodide **55**, and subjected them to a variety of reaction conditions. Silyl ether **53**²⁴ was converted rapidly and cleanly to diphenylmethane (**16**) with 2.0 equiv of TMSI and 1.0 equiv of HI

in nearly quantitative yield as expected.^{7c,25} The use of TMSI alone yielded only a trace of 16. Exposure of 53 to excess HI alone afforded the desired product in moderate yields (30 - 40%) along with small amounts of 56, which results from a Ritter-type reaction with CH₃CN. This acetamide has not been previously observed as a by-product in successful TMSI reductions.



Although we anticipated that 55²⁶ would be cleanly converted to 16 upon treatment with TMSI and HI, we were surprised to find the reaction to be slower than expected, again yielding 56 as a significant by-product. Treatment of 55 with TMSI alone affords predominantly amide 56 with a small trace of 16 observed.²⁷ Similar treatment of 55 with 2.0 equiv HI again affords 16, but with significant amounts of acetamide 56. Although these experiments are not conclusive, they suggest that reduction through an iodide intermediate may not be the preferred reaction pathway.

We performed a series of ¹H NMR experiments to gain additional mechanistic information. In these experiments, we examined the reduction of 15 in CD₃CN at rt using 3.0 or more equiv of TMSI from NaI/TMSCl²⁸ and commercial sources. Toluene was used as an internal standard and mass balances calculated. Although we noticed rapid reaction during the first 15 minutes, much slower changes were detected after that point. The most striking observation was the nearly instantaneous disappearance of starting material 15. Within 5 minutes, the entire reaction mass balance could be accounted for by iodide 55 and product 16 exclusively. No silyl ether 53 was detected. Subsequent monitoring of these experiments revealed the slow disappearance of iodide 55 with attendant formation of product 16.

A number of renderings of these observations are possible, although we have interpreted this data along with our experimental evidence to suggest that silyl ether 53 is the penultimate intermediate. If our assertion is correct, then a number of conclusions can be logically drawn. The electronic effects we have observed strongly suggest the formation of cation-like character at the site of reduction. Sakai and coworkers have provided evidence for the existence of a carbonium ion during reduction by demonstrating the conversion of optically active alcohols into racemic hydrocarbons in a related methodology.^{7b} We have demonstrated that TMSI reductions occur much faster (and at lower temperatures) than corresponding reductions using HI. We suspect that this is due to the more facile formation of this carbonium ion-like species from a silyl-activated intermediate such as 54 than the proton-activated alcohol. It seems reasonable to suggest that oxophillic TMSI acts as a Lewis acid facilitating ionization (and therefore reduction).

This mechanistic proposal, however, does not account for our observation that the use of more than 2.0 equiv of TMSI results in more rapid and cleaner reactions. The role played by additional equiv of TMSI is unclear at present. The formation of complexes involving TMSI cannot be discounted and clearly the actual situation may be considerably more complicated than we have asserted.

The use of CH_3CN as solvent also seems to play a critical role in this reduction. As mentioned earlier, these reductions of biarylmethanes can be performed in a number of solvents. However in none of these is the reaction as high yielding or as clean as in CH_3CN . Olah and coworkers have performed extensive examination of the $\text{Me}_3\text{SiCl-NaI-CH}_3\text{CN}$ and related reagent systems and have, by ^{29}Si NMR, detected what they believe to be N-silyl nitrilium ions.²⁹ It seems likely that these highly reactive species are responsible for the effects observed.

CONCLUSION

We have found this two-step benzylation strategy to be simple to accomplish, inexpensive and high-yielding even on multi-kilo scale. Using our optimized process, we were able to prepare more than 50 kg of **1** in a single batch using two 250 gallon reactors (including work-up and extraction volumes). Although our mechanistic proposals fit our initial experimental observations, further research is planned.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures: All experiments were performed under a positive pressure of nitrogen with no special drying precautions. Solvent concentration was accomplished with a Büchi rotary evaporator at ca. 15 mm Hg on small scale and by vacuum distillation otherwise. Flash column chromatography was performed on EM-Science silica gel (230-400 mesh or 70-230 mesh) using ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent unless otherwise specified. Note that some procedures and precautions taken on larger scales are not critical on smaller scales. In smaller scale experiments, more dilute reaction conditions can be employed without significant change in yields or reaction times. GC purities have not been calibrated to an external standard. Except for THF, which was obtained in anhydrous form, all other reagents were acquired from commercial suppliers and used unpurified.

Melting points were obtained using a Fischer-Johns hot-stage melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed in-house. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 1650 IR. NMR spectra were obtained on a GE QE-300 instrument. Mass spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 5971 MS system coupled to a 5790 GC chromatograph (EI) or a Finnegan Mat SSQ-700 (DCI, NH_3).

General Procedure for Large-Scale Grignard Formation and Carbonyl Addition: Preparation of α -(4'-fluorophenyl)-2-thiophenemethanol (2**):** A 22-L, four-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a 2-L pressure-equalizing addition funnel, two 600-mm coiled condensers and a nitrogen inlet adapter was charged with magnesium metal turnings (332 g, 13.70 mol, 1.02 equiv), 5.2 L of THF, and iodine (0.63 g, 2 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux until the purple iodine color disappeared (30 min). To this was added 25 mL of a solution of 2-bromothiophene (1300 mL, 2184 g, 13.40 mol, 1.00 equiv) in 2.6 L of THF. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux until a cloudy gray color formed (30 min). The mantle was turned off and the remaining 2-bromothiophene/THF solution was added at such a rate as to maintain reflux (about 2 hours). The solution was then heated to reflux for an additional 3 hours prior to

cooling to 10 °C with an ice-water bath. A solution of 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (1580 g, 12.73 mol, 0.93 equiv) in 1.4 L of THF was added dropwise at such a rate to maintain the internal temperature below 20 °C (4 h) and the resultant mixture allowed to warm to rt (22 °C) and stirred for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of a solution of NH₄Cl (3010 g, 56.3 mol, 4.12 equiv) in 5 L of distilled water while keeping the internal temperature below 40 °C (about 90 min). After stirring for 2 h, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with 3 L of ethyl acetate (EtOAc). The combined organic layers were washed with 5 L of distilled water, 5 L of saturated brine solution, and evaporated *in vacuo* (followed by azeotroping with 1 L of CH₃CN) to afford 2652 g (100%) of a dark viscous oil, which solidified upon standing. GC assay revealed the material to contain approximately 91% alcohol 2, 2.5% ketone 3,³⁰ 4% bis-adduct 33, and 1% 2,2'-bithiophene. It is possible to purify samples of the alcohol by recrystallization from diethyl ether/hexane.

Alcohol 2: mp 44-46 °C; IR (CDCl₃) 3595, 3075, 2880, 1605, 1510, 1230 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.28 (m, 1H), 7.05 (m, 2H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 6.85 (m, 1H), 5.97 (d, ³J=4Hz, 1H), 3.20 (d, ³J=4Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 162.2 (¹J_{CF}=246Hz), 147.8, 138.8 (⁴J_{CF}=3 Hz), 127.9 (³J_{CF}=9Hz), 126.6, 125.4, 124.8, 115.2 (²J_{CF}=22Hz), 71.5; MS (DCI, NH₃) 191 (100, M-OH), 207 (M+H⁺), 224 (M + NH₄⁺); Anal Calc'd for C₁₁H₉SO: C, 63.44; H, 4.36; S, 15.39. Found C, 63.49; H 4.43; S, 15.51.

Ketone 3:³⁰ mp 98-99 °C

General Procedure for the Large Scale Reduction of Biarylmethanols with TMSI: Preparation of 2-(4'-fluorobenzyl)thiophene (1): A 50-L, three-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a thermometer probe, and a nitrogen inlet adapter was charged with NaI (4189 g, 28.0 mol, 4.36 equiv), 1.5 L of CH₃CN, and chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl) (3554 mL, 3042 g, 28.0 mol, 4.36 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min prior to cooling to 0 °C with an ice-water bath. A solution of the crude alcohol 2 (1470 g, 91% pure, 6.42 mol) in 1.5 L of CH₃CN was added slowly *via* pressure-equalizing addition funnel over 3.5 h to maintain the reaction temperature below 10 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight (12 h) and was recooled to 5 °C prior to work-up. A solution of NaOH (760 g in 5 L of distilled water, 2.96 equiv) was added over 10 min (the internal temperature of the reaction rising to 40 °C before recooling to rt). The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 45 minutes to ensure complete neutralization (pH 7 in aqueous layer). To the stirred solution was added 3.5 L of EtOAc and a solution of Na₂S₂O₃·5H₂O (3598 g, 14.50 mol, 2.25 equiv) in 3.5 L of distilled water and the resulting pale brown mixture stirred for 1 h. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with a solution of NaOH (140 g, 0.50 equiv), Na₂S₂O₃·5H₂O (553.4 g, 0.35 equiv) in 4 L of distilled water. The organic layers were then separated and stirred with 3 L of distilled water containing 6.5 mL of Et₃N (facilitating removal of 4-fluorobenzyl iodide 32) for 1 hour prior to the addition of 500 g of NaCl. After a short mixing period, the layers were separated and the organic layer dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo* to afford 1319 g (97%, 93% pure by GC) of 1 as a pale-brown oil. GC analysis shows the oil to also contain 3.5% dimer 49, and a small amount of bis-adduct 34. Pure material can be obtained by high-vacuum distillation. Overall yield from 4-fluorobenzaldehyde: 90% undistilled (adjusted for purity).

2-(4'-fluorobenzyl)thiophene (1):³¹ bp 110-115 °C (2 mmHg); IR (film) 3042, 2907, 1602, 1508, 1222 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.2-7.4 (m, 3H), 7.05-7.15 (m, 3H), 6.93 (m, 1H), 4.23 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR

(75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 161.5 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=245\text{Hz}$), 143.8, 136.0 ($^4J_{\text{CF}}=2\text{Hz}$), 130.0 ($^3J_{\text{CF}}=8\text{Hz}$), 126.8, 125.1, 124.0, 115.2 ($^2J_{\text{CF}}=21\text{Hz}$), 35.1; MS(EI) 192 (100, M^+), 173, 165, 159, 147, 133, 109, 97; Anal Calc'd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_9\text{SF}$: C, 68.72; H, 4.72; S, 16.68. Found C, 68.52; H 4.65; S, 16.73.

Dimer 49: IR(CDCl_3) 3070, 3035, 2920, 1890 (sm), 1760 (sm), 1600, 1505, 1225, 1150 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.3-7.1 (m, 5H), 7.00-6.85 (m, 5H), 6.78 (appar d, 1H), 6.58 (m, 2H), 5.72 (s, 1H, CHAr_3), 4.03 (s, 2H, CH_2Ar_2); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 161.8 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=245\text{Hz}$), 161.6 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=244\text{Hz}$), 147.3, 146.0, 143.4, 139.3 ($^4J_{\text{CF}}=3\text{Hz}$), 135.7 ($^4J_{\text{CF}}=4\text{Hz}$), 130.0 ($^3J_{\text{CF}}=7\text{Hz}$), 129.8 ($^3J_{\text{CF}}=7\text{Hz}$), 126.6, 126.0, 125.8, 124.7, 124.6, 115.2 ($^2J_{\text{CF}}=21\text{Hz}$), 46.9 (CHAr_3), 35.5 (CH_2Ar_2); MS (EI) 382 (M^+), 287, 273 (100, $-\text{C}_7\text{H}_6\text{F}$), 239, 191 (M^{+2}), 109; MS (DCI, NH_3) 191 (M^{+2}), 383 ($\text{M}+\text{H}^+$), 400 ($\text{M}+\text{NH}_4^+$); Anal Calc'd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{16}\text{S}_2\text{F}_2$: C, 69.09; H, 4.22. Found C, 69.11; H 4.23.

34: Reduced Bis-Adduct 33 (Partial Characterization): IR (CDCl_3) 3115, 3070, 3040, 1600, 1505, 1435, 1230, 1155 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.30-7.15 (m, 4H), 7.0-6.90 (m, 4H), 6.81 (m, 2H), 5.85 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 161.9 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=247\text{Hz}$), 147.4, 139.5 ($^4J_{\text{CF}}=4\text{Hz}$), 129.9 ($^3J_{\text{CF}}=8\text{Hz}$), 126.6, 126.0, 124.7, 115.3 ($^2J_{\text{CF}}=22\text{Hz}$), 46.7; MS (EI) 274 (M^+ , 100); MS (DCI, NH_3) 274 (M^+), 275 ($\text{M}+\text{H}^+$), 292 ($\text{M}+\text{NH}_4^+$).

General Protocol A for Small Scale Formation of Grignards and Acylation: α -(4'-Nitrophenyl)-2-thiophenemethanol (5): A 500-mL, three-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a 100-mL pressure-equalizing addition funnel, a 200-mm coiled condenser and a nitrogen inlet adapter was charged with magnesium metal turnings (7.68 g, 0.316 mol, 1.02 equiv), 120 mL of THF, and approximately 40 mg of iodine. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux until the purple iodine color disappeared (30 min). To this was added 1 mL of a solution of 2-bromothiophene (50.51 g, 0.310 mol, 1.00 equiv) in 60 mL of THF. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux until a cloudy gray color formed (30 minutes). The mantle was turned off and the remaining 2-bromothiophene/THF solution was added at such a rate to maintain reflux (45 minutes). The solution was then heated to reflux for an additional 3 hours prior to cooling to 5 $^\circ\text{C}$ with an ice-water bath. A solution of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (44.49 g, 0.294 mol, 0.95 equiv) in 200 mL of THF was added dropwise at such a rate to maintain the internal temperature below 20 $^\circ\text{C}$ (45 min) and the resultant mixture allowed to warm to room temperature (22 $^\circ\text{C}$) and stir for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of a solution of NH_4Cl (58.10 g, 1.09 mol, 3.50 equiv) in 250 mL of distilled water. After stirring for 1 h, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with 250 mL of EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with 250 mL of distilled water, 250 mL of saturated brine solution, and reduced *in vacuo* to afford 69.12 g (100 %, 90% purity by GC with 9% ketone derivative³²) of a dark viscous oil, which solidified upon standing. Samples can be purified by recrystallization from EtOAc.

α -(4-Nitrophenyl)-2-thiophenemethanol (5):³³ IR(CDCl_3) 3600, 3120, 3080, 2870, 1605, 1520, 1345 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 8.20 (m, 2H), 7.65 (appar d, 2H), 7.31 (appar dd, 1H), 6.94 (m, 2H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 2.87 (br s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 150.0, 147.3, 146.4, 126.9, 126.8, 126.2, 125.4, 123.6, 71.2; MS (DCI, NH_3) 218 (M^+-OH), 235 (M^+), 253 ($\text{M}+\text{NH}_4^+$), 270 ($\text{M}+\text{NH}_3+\text{NH}_4^+$).

General Protocol B for the Small Scale Reduction of Biarylmethanols with TMSI: Preparation of 2-(4'-nitrobenzyl)thiophene (6): A 500-mL, three-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a thermometer probe, and a nitrogen inlet adapter was charged with NaI (80.00 g, 0.533 mol, 4.64 equiv), 60 mL of CH₃CN, and chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl) (57.91 g, 0.533 mol, 4.64 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min prior to cooling to 0 °C with an ice-water bath. A solution of the crude alcohol (5) (30.00 g, 90% pure, 0.115 mol, 1.00 equiv) in 30 mL of CH₃CN was added slowly via pressure-equalizing addition funnel over 25 min to maintain the reaction temperature below 10 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt quickly and was recooled to 5 °C prior to work-up. A solution of NaOH (16.00 g in 70 mL of distilled water, 3.50 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture cooled to rt before 140 mL of ethyl acetate was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 10 min to ensure complete neutralization (pH 7 in aqueous layer). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with 70 mL ethyl acetate to dissolve the remaining tarry residue. The combined organic layers were washed with a solution of Na₂S₂O₃·5H₂O (59.90 g, 2.10 equiv) in 220 mL of distilled water, 100 mL of distilled water, 100 mL of brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and reduced to afford 21.51 g of a dark, viscous oil. Column chromatography afforded 17.22 g (68%, 100% pure by GC) of 6 as a pale reddish oil. Yield from 2-bromothiophene: 62%

2-(4-Nitrophenylmethyl)thiophene (6): IR(CDCl₃) 3110, 3080, 1605, 1515, 1340, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.15 (appar d, 2H), 7.38 (appar d, 2H), 7.18 (appar dd, 1H), 6.95 (appar dd, 1H), 6.83 (m, 1H), 4.25 (s, 2H). ; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 147.8, 146.7, 141.4, 129.3, 127.0, 125.9, 124.6, 123.7, 123.0, 35.6; MS (DCI, NH₃) 237 (M+NH₄⁺), 254 (M+NH₃+NH₄⁺). Anal Calc'd for C₁₁H₉NO₂S: C, 60.26; H, 4.14; N 6.39; S, 14.62. Found C, 60.37; H, 4.25; N 6.31; S, 14.35.

α-(3'-nitrophenyl)-2-thiophenemethanol (7): 2-Bromothiophene (50.40 g, 30.0 mL, 0.310 mol, 1.00 equiv) and 3-nitrobenzaldehyde (44.50 g, 0.294 mol, 0.95 equiv) were reacted according to general protocol A to afford 68.29 g (99%) of alcohol 7. GC analysis showed it to be approximately 68% pure (containing 21% of the corresponding ketone).³² Purification by column chromatography afforded 44.87 g of 7 as a pale red solid (65%).

Alcohol 7: IR(film) 3600-3200 (br), 1525, 1350 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.28 (m, 1H), 8.08 (m, 1H), 7.72 (appar d, 1H), 7.47 (appar t, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 6.90 (m, 2H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 148.0, 146.7, 145.2, 132.2, 129.1, 126.6, 125.8, 125.1, 122.4, 120.9, 70.7; MS (DCI, NH₃) 218 (M⁺-OH), 235 (M⁺), 253 (M+NH₄⁺), 270 (M+NH₃+NH₄⁺). Anal Calc'd for C₁₁H₉NO₃S: C, 56.16; H, 3.86; N 5.95; S, 13.63. Found C, 56.37; H, 3.93; N 5.95; S, 13.28.

2-(3'-nitrophenylmethyl)thiophene (8): Purified alcohol 7 (3.73 g, 0.016 mol, 1.00 equiv), NaI (9.44 g, 0.063 mol, 4.00 equiv) and TMSCl (7.99 mL, 0.063 mol, 4.00 equiv) were reacted according to reduction protocol B, to afford 2.93 g of 8 as a colorless oil (84%) after column chromatography.

2-(3'-nitrophenylmethyl)thiophene (8): IR(film) 3070, 2920, 1530, 1350, 805, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.06 (m, 2H), 7.55 (m 2H), 7.46 (appar t, 1H), 7.17 (appar dd, 1H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 6.82 (m, 1H), 4.26 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 148.3, 142.3, 141.7, 134.6, 129.3, 127.0, 125.8, 124.6.

123.3, 121.6, 35.4; MS (CI, NH₃) 237 (M+NH₄⁺), 254 (M+NH₃+NH₄⁺). Anal Calc'd for C₁₁H₉NO₂S: C, 60.26; H, 4.14; N, 6.39; S, 14.62. Found C, 60.24; H, 4.14; N, 6.26; S, 14.68.

2-(phenylmethyl)furan (11):^{5d} An CH₃CN solution of crude alcohol 10^{5d} (5.20 g, 90% pure, 0.027 mol, 1.00 equiv, prepared according to general protocol A) was added over 1 hr to a 10 °C CH₃CN solution of TMSI prepared from NaI (24.21 g, 0.161 mol, 6.00 equiv), and TMSCl (17.51 g, 20.5 mL, 0.161 mol, 6.00 equiv) according to protocol B to afford 5.62 g of crude 11. Purification by column chromatography afforded 3.35 g of pure 11 as a colorless oil (79%). If fewer than 6 equiv of TMSI are used, dimer 51 is formed as well. Caution: 11 and 51 are acid sensitive.

Dimer 51: IR(film) 3086, 3062, 3028, 2923, 1602, 1558, 1496, 1454, 1228, 1013 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone-d₆) δ: 7.44 (dd, ⁴J=1.1, ³J=2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.32 (m, 10H), 6.33 (dd, ³J=1.6, ³J=3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (m, 1H), 5.98 (m, 1H), 5.95 (m, 1H), 5.48 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, acetone-d₆) δ: 155.8, 155.2, 154.4, 143.0, 141.1, 139.6, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 128.0, 127.4, 111.4, 109.2, 108.4, 108.0, 45.9, 35.0; MS (DCI, NH₃) 157 (M⁺), 314 (M⁺), 332 (M+NH₄⁺); MS (EI) 314, 281, 237, 223, 207(100), 191. Anal Calc'd for C₂₂H₁₈O₂: C, 84.05 H, 5.77. Found C, 83.89; H 5.82.

3-(phenylmethyl)furan (13):³⁴ An CH₃CN solution of purified alcohol 12 (10.00 g, 0.057 mol, 1.00 equiv, prepared according to general protocol A) was added over 30 min to a 10 °C CH₃CN solution of TMSI prepared from NaI (51.62 g, 0.344 mol, 6.00 equiv), and TMSCl (37.37 g, 43.7 mL, 0.344 mol, 6.00 equiv) according to protocol B to afford 7.45 g of 13 as a pale yellow viscous oil. Purification by column chromatography afforded 5.27 g of pure 13 as a low melting solid/oil (58%). If fewer than 6 equiv of TMSI or more rapid additions are used, dimer 52 is formed as well and may be separated by tedious chromatography using 5% ethyl acetate in hexane as eluent. Caution: 13 and 52 are acid sensitive.

Dimer 52: IR(film) 3080, 3050, 3020, 2910, 1600, 1495, 1175, 1020, 875, 730, 695, 600 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 7.59 (appart, 1H), 7.49 (d, ³J=2.1Hz, 1H), 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.13 - 7.30 (m, 10H), 6.33 (m, appar d, 1H), 6.24 (d, ³J=2.1Hz, 1H), 5.56 (s, 1H), 3.78 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 150.7, 143.0, 141.6, 141.1, 140.4, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 126.7, 126.5, 126.1, 119.1, 125.8, 112.2, 111.1, 39.7, 31.0; MS (DCI, NH₃) 315 (M+H⁺), 332 (M+NH₄⁺); MS (EI) 314 (100, M⁺), 285, 252, 236, 207(100), 178; Anal Calc'd for C₂₂H₁₈O₂: C, 84.05 H, 5.77. Found C, 83.67; H 5.79.

Alcohol 23:³⁵ 2-Iodonaphthalene (25.00 g, 0.0984 mol, 1.00 equiv) and 3-methoxybenzaldehyde (12.76 g, 11.4 mL, 0.0936 mol, 0.95 equiv) were reacted according to general protocol A to afford 26.30 g of crude 23 as a viscous yellow oil, from which 12.36 g (50%) of pure 23 crystallized as colorless needles which were collected and washed with cold heptane. The mother liquor contained the corresponding ketone,³⁶ some 2,2'-binaphthalene and traces of product. Caution: The solid alcohol is an irritant!

Alcohol 23: IR(CDCl₃) 3600-3000 (br), 1595, 1483, 1258, 1039, 782 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.98 (m, 1H), 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.53 (m, 1H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.19 (m, 1H), 6.95 (m, 1H), 6.92 (m, 1H), 6.75 (m, 1H), 6.40 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.56 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 159.6, 144.8, 138.7, 133.9, 130.7, 129.4, 128.7, 128.4, 126.0, 125.5, 125.2, 124.6, 123.9, 119.4, 112.9, 112.7, 73.4, 55.1; MS (DCI, NH₃) 247 (M⁺-OH), 264 (M⁺), 282 (M+NH₄⁺); MS (EI) 264, 231, 215, 155, 135.

Biarylmethane 24: Purified alcohol 23 (8.20 g, 0.031 mol, 1.00 equiv) was reacted with TMSI prepared from NaI (13.96 g, 0.093 mol, 3.00 equiv) and TMSCl (10.09 g, 11.83 mL, 0.093 mol, 3.00 equiv) according to general protocol B to afford 7.10 g of crude 24 (> 90% pure) as a pale red oil. The crude biarylmethane was purified by column chromatography to afford 6.32 g of 24 as a colorless oil (82%).

Biarylmethane 24: IR(film) 3042, 3000, 2960, 2940, 2835, 1605, 1599, 1580, 1490, 1263, 782 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.98 (m, 1H), 7.82 (m, 1H), 7.73 (m, 1H), 7.42 (m, 3H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.15 (m, 1H), 6.75 (m, 3H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 159.7, 142.3, 136.4, 133.9, 132.1, 129.3, 128.6, 127.3, 127.1, 125.9, 125.5, 124.2, 121.2, 114.7, 111.2, 55.0, 39.0; MS (CI, NH_3) 249 ($\text{M}+\text{H}^+$), 266 ($\text{M}+\text{NH}_4^+$), 283 ($\text{M}+\text{NH}_4+\text{NH}_3^+$); MS (EI) 248, 233, 217, 202, 141. Anal Calc'd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}$: C, 87.06; H, 6.49; O, 6.49. Found C, 86.84; H 6.45; O, 6.71.

4-Fluorobenzyl iodide (32): A 250-mL, 3-necked, round-bottomed flask equipped with a nitrogen inlet adapter, a 100-mL pressure equalizing addition funnel and magnetic stirring was charged with NaI (35.91 g, 0.24 mol, 5.55 equiv), TMSCl (26.12 g, 30.5 mL, 0.24 mol, 5.55 equiv) and 30 mL of CH_3CN . After 15 min at rt, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 $^\circ\text{C}$. A solution of 4-fluorobenzyl alcohol (31) (5.50 g, 4.37 mL, 0.04 mol, 1.00 equiv) in 20 mL of CH_3CN was added dropwise over 10 min and the crude reaction mixture allowed to warm to rt over 1 h prior to workup. The reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel containing 500 mL of water and 200 mL of hexane. After shaking briefly, 50 mL of ethyl acetate was added and the organic layer separated and washed with 150 mL saturated K_2CO_3 , 150 mL half-saturated $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$, 50 mL of brine, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and evaporated to afford 10.03 g (97%) of 32 as a deep yellow solid. (Caution: 4-fluorobenzyl iodide 32 is a strong lachrymator and exposure to the low-melting solid causes tearing and shortness of breath.) The crude iodide may be recrystallized from acetone and is stable when stored cold.

Iodide 32: mp: 27-28 $^\circ\text{C}$; IR(film) 3054, 2987, 1510, 1422, 1274 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.25 (m, 2H), 6.89 (m, 2H), 4.34 (s, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 161.9 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=248\text{Hz}$), 135.0 ($^4J_{\text{CF}}=3\text{Hz}$), 130.3 ($^3J_{\text{CF}}=9\text{Hz}$), 115.6 ($^2J_{\text{CF}}=21\text{Hz}$), 4.7; MS (DCI, NH_3) 236 (M^+); MS (EI) 236, 127, 109.

1-Iodo-1-phenylpropane (41): Alcohol 41 (20 g, 0.147 mol) was treated with TMSI (6 equiv, prepared from NaI and TMSCl) according to the procedure described by Sakai and coworkers^{7b/7c} to afford iodide 42 (30.03 g) as a dark red oil (83% crude yield, > 95% pure by GC). Flash chromatography afforded pure iodide 42 (19.23 g, 53% yield). Caution: Iodide 42 is lachrymatory and prone to violent decomposition.

1-Iodo-1-phenylpropane (42): IR(film) 3070, 2960, 2925, 2875, 1495, 1450, 1125, 755, 690, 555 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 5.05 (appar t, $^3J=7.5\text{Hz}$, 1H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.05 (m, appar sept, 1H), 0.96 (appar t, $^3J=7.5\text{Hz}$, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 143.8, 128.5, 127.5, 127.0, 36.5, 34.5, 14.5; MS (DCI, NH_3): 246 (M^+), 217 ($\text{M}^+-\text{C}_2\text{H}_5$), 119 (M^+-I); Anal Calc'd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{11}\text{I}$: 43.93; H, 4.51. Found C, 43.61; H 4.51.

2,2-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1-iodopropane (44): A solution of alcohol 43 (10.00 g, 0.061 mmol) in 61 mL of hexane was added to a rt solution of TMSI, prepared from NaI (54.9 g, 0.366 mol, 6 equiv), TMSCl (39.8 g,

0.366 mol, 6 equiv), and CH_3CN (39.8 g, 0.366 mol, 6 equiv), and allowed to stir for 18 hr. At this point, thin-layer chromatography and GC/MS examination revealed the reaction to be complete with no residual unreacted starting material 43. To the crude reaction mixture was added 100 mL of diethyl ether and the reaction mixture allowed to stir for 10 min prior to the addition of 50 mL of water followed by an additional stirring period of 15 min. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with 100 mL of diethyl ether and the combined organic layers washed with 200 mL of sat'd $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ and 200 mL of sat'd Brine solution, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and evaporated *in vacuo* to afford the crude product as a mixture of iodide 44 and alcohol 43 as a hydrolysis by-product. Column chromatography afforded 5.50 g of alcohol 43 (55%) and 6.40 g of iodide 44 as a colorless oil (38% yield). Based on hydrolysis as the only source of 43, the combined yield is 93%.

2,2-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1-iodopropane (44): IR(KBr) 2950, 1450, 1365, 1125, 725, 700, 605 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.38 (m, appar dd, 2H), 7.22 (m, 3H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 1.10 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 142.1, 129.5, 127.9, 127.7, 52.5, 37.0, 28.5; MS (EI) 274 (M^+), 217 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{C}_4\text{H}_9$), 147 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{I}$); Anal Calc'd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{15}\text{I}$: C, 48.19; H, 5.52. Found C, 48.53; H 5.39.

Ethyl ether (50): A solution of purified thienyl alcohol 2 (5.00 g, 0.024 mol, 1.00 equiv) in 6.0 mL of absolute ethanol was treated with a single drop of conc. H_2SO_4 and allowed to stir at rt for 36 h. The crude reaction mixture was partitioned between 100 mL of ethyl acetate and 50 mL of 10% NaOH solution. The organic layer was separated and washed once with 30 mL of brine, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and evaporated *in vacuo* to afford 5.31 g (94%) of ether 50 as a colorless oil (>95% pure by GC).

Ether 50: IR(film) 2980, 2885, 1600, 1505, 1225, 1081 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.38 (m, 1H), 7.05 (appar t, 2H), 6.95 (appar dd, 1H), 6.83 (m, 1H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 3.55 (ABX³, 2H), 1.30 (appar t, $^3J=7\text{Hz}$, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 162.3 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=245\text{Hz}$), 146.6, 137.7 ($^4J_{\text{CF}}=2\text{Hz}$), 128.5 ($^3J_{\text{CF}}=9\text{Hz}$), 126.4, 125.4, 125.1, 115.2 ($^1J_{\text{CF}}=22\text{Hz}$), 78.8, 64.6, 15.2; MS (DCI, NH_3) 236 (M^+); MS (EI) 236, 207, 191, 146, 123. Anal Calc'd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{13}\text{FOS}$: C, 66.08; H, 5.55. Found C, 66.42; H 5.57.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the contributions of our colleagues in the Process-Analytical Support and Process Research and Development departments. We are especially grateful to Elaine Wiggins for assistance with microanalytical analyses and to Steve Hollis and Momir Cirovic for guidance in NMR interpretations. We also wish to thank David R. Hill for valuable discussions and suggestions. Ramesh Patel and Subhash Patel helped with the early process development.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. There are a number of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors under development at Abbott: (a) Basha, A., Ratajczyk, J., Brooks, D.W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 3783. (b) Hsiao, C.-N., Kolasa, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 2629.
2. (a) Olah, G. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1971, 4, 240. (b) Olah, G. A.; Kobayashi, S.; Tashiro, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1972, 94(21), 7448.

3. (a) Jakobsen, H. J.; Larsen, E. H.; Lawesson, S. O. *Tetrahedron* 1963, 19, 1867. (b) Ramanathan, V.; Levine, R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1962, 27, 1667.
4. Tamao, K.; Kodama, S.; Nakajima, L.; Kumada, M. *Tetrahedron* 1982, 38, 3347.
5. (a) Hudlicky, M. *Reductions in Organic Chemistry*; Halsted: New York, 1984. (b) Comins, D. L.; Stroud, E. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, 1869. (c) Schumacher, D. P.; Hall, S. S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, 46, 5060. (d) Hall, S. S.; Farahat, S. E. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 1987, 24, 1205. (e) Small, G. H.; Minnelli, A. E.; Hall, S. S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1975, 40(21), 3151. (f) Nutaitis, C. F.; Patragnoni, R.; Goodkin, G. *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.* 1991, 23(4), 403. (g) Suzuki, H.; Tani, H.; Kubota, H.; Sato, N.; Tsuji, J.; Osuka, A. *Chem. Lett.* 1983, 247. (h) Gunther, F. A.; Blinn, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1950, 72(32), 4282.
6. (a) Ono, A.; Maruyama, T.; Suzuki, N. *Synth. Commun.* 1987, 17(8), 1001. (b) West, C. T.; Donnelly, S. J.; Kooistra, D. A.; Doyle, M. P. *J. Org. Chem.* 1973, 38(15), 2675. (c) Renaud, R. N.; Stephens, J. C. *Can. J. Chem.* 1974, 52, 1229.
7. (a) Nugara, P. N.; Cava, M. P.; Lakshimikantham, M. V. *Sulfur Lett.* 1992, 14(6), 269. (b) Sakai, T.; Miyata, K.; Utaka, M.; Takeda, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987, 28(33), 3817. (c) Sakai, T.; Miyata, K.; Tsuboi, S.; Takeda, A.; Utaka, M.; Torii, S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1989, 62, 3537.
8. (a) Ando, W.; Ikeno, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 4941. (b) Wiggins, J. M. *Synth. Commun.* 1988, 18(7), 741.
9. Olah, G. A.; Narang, S. C.; Gupta, B. G. B.; Malhotra, R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1979, 44(8), 1247.
10. *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*; Paquette, L. A., Ed.; J. Wiley and Sons: 1995; in press.
11. The reaction of vinyl nitro compounds with TMSI has been reported, see: Singhal, G. M.; Das, N. B.; Sharma, R. P. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1989, 1470.
12. The reaction of alkyl and benzylic nitro compounds with TMSI has been reported to lead to oximes and nitriles respectively, see: Olah, G. A.; Narang, S. C.; Field, L. D.; Fung, A. P. *J. Org. Chem.* 1983, 48, 2766.
13. Jung, M. E.; Ornstein, P. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1977, 2659.
14. (a) Gschwend, H. W. and Rodriguez, H. R. In *Organic Reactions*; Dauben, W. G., Ed.; Krieger: Malabar, 1979; Vol 26, Chapter 1. (b) Wakefield, B. J. *The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds*; Pergamon: New York, 1974. (c) Narasimhan, N. S.; Mali, R. S. *Synthesis* 1983, 957.
15. For general reviews of the Cannizzaro reaction and its mechanism see: (a) Swain, C. G.; Powell, A. L.; Sheppard, W. A.; Morgan, C. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101(13), 3576. (b) Swain, C. G.; Powell, A. L.; Lynch, T. J.; Alpha, S. R.; Dunlap, R. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101(13), 3584. (c) Geissman, T. A. In *Organic Reactions*; Adams, R., Ed; Wiley: New York, 1944; Vol 2, Chapter 3.
16. We have found these reductions to be strongly exothermic; additions may proceed more rapidly on small scale when cooling is more efficient.
17. A number of researchers have observed the formation of dimers during the reduction of arylalkanols under acidic reaction conditions: (a) Gribble, G. W.; Leese, R. M. *Synthesis* 1977, 172. (b) Lau, C. K.; Dufresne, C.; Bélanger, P. C.; Piétre, S.; Scheigetz, J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986, 51(15), 3038.
18. Occasionally, acidic reductions of arylalkanols are accompanied by rearrangements: Adlington, M. G.; Orfanopoulos, M.; Fry, J. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1976, 2955.
19. It might be possible to use less than 6 equivalents, but we have found that this requires addition times much longer than are usually required or might be practical.
20. Examples of the reductive dimerization of oxidized sulfur species with TMSI, HI or their synthetic equivalents: (a) Kielbanski, P.; Drabowicz, J.; Mikołajczyk, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982, 47, 4806. (b) Olah, G. A.; Narang, S. C.; Field, L. D.; Salem, G. F. *J. Org. Chem.* 1980, 45, 4792. (c) Olah, G. A.; Narang, S. C.; Field, L. D.; Salem, G. F.; Karpeles, R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, 46, 2408. (d) Palumbo, G.; Parrilli, M.; Neri, O.; Ferreri, C.; Coputo, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 2391.
21. (a) Gunther, F. A.; Blinn, R. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1950, 72(32), 4282. (b) Marvel, C. S.; Hager, F. D.; Caudle, E. C. *Org Synth Coll Vol I* 1932, 224.

- 22 Data in this graph is a compilation of at least 3 experiments per entry. These reductions were performed on multi-gram scale at temperatures from 10 °C to 50 °C. In all cases, the yields are based on product isolated by column chromatography which is >95% pure by GC and/or NMR.
- 23 We have examined the relationship between yield, the time of complete reaction and the number of equiv of TMSI used in a number of cases.
- 24 Silyl ether 53 was prepared by the reaction of benzhydrol (15) with TMSCl in the presence of Et₃N.
- 25 In these experiments, HI is generated by the destruction of TMSI with H₂O. All experiments were performed using TMSI from commercial sources and TMSI generated in-situ from NaI/TMSCl.
- 26 Pure iodide 55 was prepared from benzhydrol (15) by a modification of the method of Castedo, L.; Marcos, C. F.; Monteagudo, M.; Tojo, G. *Synth Commun* 1992, 22(5), 677. Iodide 55 is extremely sensitive to air and light and was used immediately after recrystallization from hexane at -78 °C.
- 27 We attribute the small amounts of diphenylmethane 16 formed to trace amounts of water present in the NaI, yielding HI upon exposure to TMSCl.
- 28 TMSI generated in this manner was filtered prior to reaction.
- 29 (a) Olah, G. A.; Narang, S. C. *Tetrahedron* 1982, 38, 2225. (b) Olah, G. A.; Husain, A.; Singh, B. P.; Mehrotra, A. K. *J. Org. Chem.* 1983, 48, 3667.
30. Spurlock, J. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1953, 75, 1115.
31. Cross, P. E.; Dickinson, R. P. Br. Patent 1 468 111.
32. Goncalves, R.; Kegelman, M. R.; Brown, E. V. *J. Org. Chem.* 1952, 17, 705.
33. Pecchenko, N. F.; Pivenko, N. S.; Trusevich, N. D.; Lavrushin, V. F. *Zh. Obshch. Khim* 1974, 44(11), 2545.
34. Batt, D. G.; Jones, D. G.; La Greca, S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991, 56(23), 6704.
35. Rybakova, M. N. *J. Org. Chem. (USSR) Eng. Transl.* 1966, 2, 472. CA 65:8804g.
36. Hatanaka, Y.; Fukushima, S.; Hiyama, T. *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48(11), 2113.

(Received in USA 14 December 1994; accepted 26 July 1995)